

DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556  
dswanson@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.  
492089; *pro hac vice*)  
crichman@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

JULIAN W. KLEINBRODT, SBN 302085  
jkleinbrodt@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532  
mark.perry@weil.com  
JOSHUA M. WESNESKI (D.C. Bar No.  
1500231; *pro hac vice*)  
joshua.wesneski@weil.com  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
2001 M Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.682.7000  
Facsimile: 202.857.0940

MORGAN D. MACBRIDE, SBN 301248  
morgan.macbride@weil.com  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
Redwood Shores Pkwy, 4th Floor  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
Telephone: 650.802.3044  
Facsimile: 650.802.3100

Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**OAKLAND DIVISION**

EPIC GAMES, INC.

Plaintiff, Counter-defendant  
v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant, Counterclaimant

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

**DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN  
SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT  
IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO SEAL**

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 I, Mark A. Perry, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California, and a member of the Bar  
3 of this Court. I am a partner at the law firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, counsel of record for Apple  
4 Inc. (“Apple”) in this case. I am familiar with Apple’s treatment of highly proprietary and confidential  
5 information based on my personal experience representing Apple. I have personal knowledge of the  
6 facts stated below and, if called as a witness, would testify competently thereto. I submit this declaration  
7 in support of Apple’s Statement in Support of Administrative Motion to Seal.<sup>1</sup>

8 2. I am aware that the law of this Circuit allows information to be filed under seal for good  
9 cause or in certain compelling circumstances. I also understand that courts routinely seal filings where  
10 documents include a company’s trade secrets, internal codenames, confidential research and  
11 development, personally identifiable information, or other commercially sensitive information. I  
12 understand that this Court has broad latitude to prevent the public disclosure of these categories of  
13 commercially sensitive information.

14 3. Apple operates in an intensely competitive environment. Apple has serious and  
15 legitimate concerns that competitors will be quick to capitalize on any release of Apple’s highly sensitive  
16 information in order to gain competitive advantage. As such, Apple takes extensive measures to protect  
17 the confidentiality of its information.

18 4. Apple has carefully reviewed Epic Games, Inc.’s Administrative Motion to Consider  
19 Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 (“Epic’s  
20 Motion”) (Dkt. 1366), and now proposes to partially seal information therein that, if disclosed, could  
21 violate Apple’s privilege claims.

22 5. Apple seeks to partially seal Plaintiff’s Opposition to Apple Inc.’s Motion to Strike  
23 Testimony (Dkt. 1365) (“Epic’s Opposition”). Epic’s Opposition contains statements that reflect  
24

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> Courts in the Ninth Circuit routinely grant motions to seal based on declarations of counsel. *See*,  
27 *e.g.*, *In re Apple Securities Litigation*, 19-cv-02033-YGR, Dkt. 223 (N.D. Cal.); *In re Qualcomm Litig.*,  
No. 17-cv-00108-GPC, Dkt. 398-1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2018); *Avago Techs. U.S. Inc. v. Iptronics Inc.*,  
No. 10-cv-02863-EJD, Dkt. 544 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2015); *Cisco Sys., Inc. v. OpenTV Inc.*, No. 13-cv-  
00282-EJD, Dkt. 76 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2013). If the Court deems this declaration insufficient, Apple  
28 respectfully requests that it be permitted to file a further declaration supporting filing under seal.

testimony Apple has moved to strike on the basis of attorney-client privilege which, if revealed, would invade Apple's privilege (Dkt. 1328). This testimony should be sealed pending resolution of Apple's Motion to Strike. Apple has narrowly-tailored its sealing request as to maximize the public's access to court documents without jeopardizing Apple's privilege claims. The remainder of Epic's Opposition remains unredacted.

6. Below is a chart detailing the portions of the document sealable for the reasons explained herein, as well as in Apple's statement.

Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	Document Title	Reason to Seal
Pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	Epic's Opposition	Apple previously moved to strike as privileged. <i>See</i> Dkt. 1328.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 24th day of March 2025, in Washington, D.C.

Dated: March 24, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Mark A. Perry

Mark A. Perry